LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

FILED
NOV 16 1968
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

..... TERM, 196....

No. 753

LYNN PORTER, PETITIONER,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, RESPONDENT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

KALE R. ALEXANDER,
DAVID A. FEDOR,
1412 Bull Street,
Columbia, South Carolina 29201,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

Of Counsel:
BETTY M. SLOAN,
1316 Washington St.,
Columbia, South Carolina.

The R. L. Bryan Company, Legal Printers, Columbia, S. C.

INDEX

PAGE

Jurisdiction 1

Questions Involved 2

Statement 3

Reasons for Granting the Writ:

I. The Petitioner was denied due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, by the receipt as evidence of various alleged gambling paraphernalia, seized from the petitioner's residence by police officers without his consent or permission and without the existence or possession of a Search Warrant 4

II. The Petitioner was denied due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, by the receipt as evidence of statements allegedly made by the petitioner at the time of his arrest, when it was admitted by the State of South Carolina that the arresting officers, at no time, advised petitioner of his right to remain silent and/or to have present an attorney prior to questioning 10

III. The Petitioner was denied due process of law and equal protection of the law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States by the failure of the trial judge to charge the jury the law relative to entrapment, when there was evidence before the Court that there has been an entrapment of the petitioner by the police officers 12

Summary 14

Conclusion 15

AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases: PAGE

Gadlin v. U. S., 326 Fed. (2d) 666 9

Higgins v. U. S., 209 Fed. (2d) 819 6

Johnson v. U. S., 333 U. S. 10 7

Judd v. U. S., 190 Fed. (2d) 649 7

Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U. S. 643 6

Miranda v. Arizona, 284 U. S. 436 11

State v. Rippey, 123 S. C. 550 13

State v. Jacobs, 238 S. C. 234 13

U. S. v. Como, 340 Fed. (2d) 891 6

U. S. v. Landrip, 74 Fed. Supp. 946 8

U. S. v. Marra, 40 Fed. (2d) 271 7

U. S. v. Slusser, 270 Fed. 818 8

Weed v. U. S., 340 Fed. (2d) 827 .....6, 8

Statutes, Etc.:

Title 28, U. S. Code, Sec. 1257 (3) 2

Supreme Court of the United States

..... TERM, 196....

No. .....

LYNN PORTER, PETITIONER,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, RESPONDENT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Petitioner prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of South Carolina in the above entitled case.

CITATION TO OPINION BELOW

The opinion of the Supreme Court of South Carolina is reported at .....

JURISDICTION

The judgment of the Court of General Sessions of Greenville County South Carolina was affirmed by the Supreme Court of the State of South Carolina on August 13,